

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 06.12.2016

CWP No.19786 of 2016

Murari Lal

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. A.K.Bura, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.Doon, AAG, Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

Short reply on behalf of respondent No.3 has been filed in Court today. The same is taken on record. Copy supplied.

On the statement of the petitioner through his counsel, this matter has been rendered infructuous in terms of the 'notice for scrutiny of documents' published on the website. According to Clause (2) of the notice (Pg.4), the candidates who did not appear for Viva-voce/interview have been given opportunity to appear for scrutiny of documents on 08.12.2016 and, therefore, their right of consideration is preserved. Since the admit card has been issued to the petitioner, he will appear before the Commission according to the notice.

Dismissed as infructuous.

06.12.2016
Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No