

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 19781 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22.09.2016.

Sushma

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Ms. Pratibha Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

The petitioner, who is presently serving as Hindi Teacher under the Haryana School Education Department has filed the instant petition raising a grievance that her juniors have been promoted to the post of P.G.T./Lecturer, Hindi, whereas she has been denied consideration as regards promotion to such promotional post.

The pleadings on record as also the documents appended along with the petition are completely deficient as regards demonstrating that the petitioner is senior to other Hindi Teachers, who have since been promoted as P.G.T./Lecturer, Hindi. There is no seniority list placed on record which would reflect the seniority position inter se the petitioner as also the other teachers who have allegedly been granted the benefit of promotion. The relevant rule under the Haryana State School Education (School Cadre) Service Rules, 1998 clearly stipulates that qua members of service who have been recruited directly, it is the order of merit determined by the recruiting agency that would determine seniority. Even on such aspect the pleadings are completely lacking.

In such a situation, the claim and grievance raised by the petitioner cannot be entertained and examined.

Faced with such a situation, counsel prays for withdrawal of the instant petition with liberty to file a petition afresh on the same cause of action but with better particulars.

Prayer is allowed.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty, as prayed for.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

22.09.2016
lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: No

Whether Reportable: No