

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

CWP No.19761 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 22.09.2016

Anil Kumar and others

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. V.B. Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

Pleadings on record would indicate that the petitioners herein were engaged as Block Coordinators and Cluster Motivators purely on contractual basis in the month of February, 2016 under the Swachh Bharat Mission. The contractual engagement was for a period of six months.

Instant petition is founded on an apprehension that in spite of the work and conduct of the petitioner being satisfactory, they would be replaced by another set of contractual employees.

Counsel would argue that Swachh Bharat Mission is to continue till the year 2019 and as such, the present petitioners are vested with a right to continue under the contractual engagement that had already been entered into.

This Court finds that there is no document/material to substantiate the apprehension that has been voiced in the instant petition. There is no notice/advertisement issued by the respondent-authorities as regards engaging other Block Coordinators and Cluster Motivators under the Swachh Bharat Mission and on the basis of which the petitioners are stating that they may be replaced in the near future.

Faced with such a situation, counsel submits that a

representation has been filed before the respondent-authorities and he would be satisfied, if directions were to be issued for the same to be considered.

Without making any observations on merits and without even ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the petition, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the present petition with a direction to Deputy Commissioner, Kurukshetra-respondent No.3 to consider the claim and grievance of the petitioners in the light of the representation dated 19.08.2016 at Annexure P-16 and thereafter to take steps as he may deem fit and warranted in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

22.09.2016

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | |
|-------------------------------|-----|
| i) Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes |
| ii) Whether reportable? | No |