

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.19737 of 2016
Date of decision: 22.09.2016**

Malkit Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. S.K. Rattan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No.14238 of 1991 titled as 'Sukhdev Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others', decided on 10.03.2010 (Annexure P-1). The petitioner also served a legal notice (Annexure P-2) upon the respondents but no action has been taken so far. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case, directions are issued to consider and decide the case of the petitioner in view of **Sukhdev Singh's case (supra)**.

Keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider the legal notice (Annexure P-2) and pass necessary order in accordance with law.

In case, the petitioner is found to be entitled for the relief sought, the same be granted to him within a period of two months after decision of legal notice. In case, the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

**22.09.2016
neetu**

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

√
Yes/No

Whether Reportable

√
Yes/No