

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.316-2

ITA No.695 of 2009 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.09.2016

The Commissioner of Income Tax, Karnal

....Appellant

versus

Rohit Bahadur Khurania, Kaithal

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**

Present:- Mr. Yogesh Putney, Senior Standing counsel for the appellant.

Mr. S.K. Mukhi, Advocate, for the respondent.

* * * *

S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the appellant-revenue states that since the tax effect involved is ₹ 4,26,060/- (approx.), he has instructions to withdraw the present appeal in view of the circular No.21/2015 dated 10.12.2015 issued by the C.B.D.T., New Delhi. However, he prayed that liberty be granted to the revenue to file an application for revival of the appeal in case something survives therein.

2. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. It is, however, clarified that withdrawal of the appeal by the revenue shall not be taken to be affirmation of order of the Tribunal on merits. Further, the legal issue as claimed by the revenue is being left open to be adjudicated in an appropriate case.

**(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

September 22, 2016

Jyoti 1

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No