

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-20678-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.07.2016**

Ranjit Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Rajat Bansal, AAG Punjab
Ms. Kavita Arora, Advocate for respondent No.4

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 has stated at the bar that all the arrears have been paid to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there is an issue regarding the improper calculations of the arrears. Learned counsel for the respondent No.4 has stated that the petitioner may make a representation to respondent No.4 and that if there is some mis-calculations, the same will be corrected accordingly within one month from the date of receipt of representation.

However, it comes out that there is a delay in payment of arrears. The petitioner had retired from service on 30.4.2015. As such, the petitioner shall be entitled to interest @ 9% per annum starting from 1.7.2015 till the date of payment.

The petition is accordingly allowed.

28.07.2016
gk

**(Kuldeep Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/ No
Yes/No