

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.112

CWP No.1963 of 2016

Date of decision: 08.02.2016

Shyam Sunder and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that one of the petitioner-Dr. Sanjay Dahiya-petitioner No.3 has filed a representation dated 27.03.2015 (Annexure P-16) with the respondents. It is submitted that the issue raised in the representation (Annexure P-16) covers the claim of all the petitioners and that, at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 and 4 to take a decision on the representation dated 27.03.2015 (Annexure P-16), submitted by the petitioner No.3.

I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 and 4 to take a decision on the representation dated 27.03.2015 (Annexure P-16), submitted by the petitioner No.3, which covers the

claim of all the petitioners, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioners and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioners, they would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

February 08, 2016

Jyoti 1