

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.19626 of 2016
Date of decision: 16.11.2016

Sarwan Singh and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Anureet S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioners have approached this Court, by way of present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the very outset, fairly states that although the petitioners are seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus, yet they did not approach the respondent authorities by moving an appropriate representation or legal notice, before filing the present writ petition. He further submits that given an opportunity, petitioners shall approach the Deputy Commissioner, Patiala-respondent No.2 by moving an appropriate representation within a period of three weeks from today and he may be directed to consider and decide the same at an early date.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the rights

of either of the parties, present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to Deputy Commissioner, Patiala-respondent No.2 that if the petitioners approach him by moving an appropriate representation within a period of three weeks from today, he shall consider and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law but in any case within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of representation from the petitioners.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, instant writ petition stands disposed of.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

16.11.2016
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No