IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 20498 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 3.3.2016

Syngenta India Limited

.. Petitioner

v.

Union of India and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Ms. Riya Bansal and Mr. Lalit Thakur, Advocates for the petitioner.

Ms. Seema for Ms. Ranjana Shahi, Advocate for
Union of India.

Mr. Ashok S. Chaudhary, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Aman Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Raj Kumar Sharma, Advocate for respondent No. 5.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

Learned counsel for the parties submitted that the issues raised in the present petition are squarely covered by the judgment of this court in M/s Tripura Bio-Tech Ltd. v. State of Haryana and others, decided on 4.2.2016. However, it was submitted that samples of the products to be sold by the petitioner had been taken and the petitioner had even deposited the requisite fee for testing. It is agreed that after the test reports are received,

MANOJ KUMAR
2016.03.15 10:33
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

the authorities will follow the procedure as laid down in the aforesaid judgment.

With the aforesaid observations, the present petition is disposed of.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

3.3.2016
mk

MANOJ KUMAR
2016.03.15 10:33
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document