

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 23704 of 2013
Date of Decision:- 29.11.2016**

Karnail Singh Gill

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. V.K. Shukla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

The prayer in the present petition is for quashing of memo. dated 30.8.2012 (Annexure P-7) whereby claim of the petitioner for removal of anomaly in his pay fixation by stepping the same at par with his junior namely Gurcharan Singh has been declined. A further prayer has also been made for issuance of direction to the respondents to remove the anomaly in pay-fixation by stepping up the same at par with his junior Gurcharan Singh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the decision rendered in CWP No. 6602 of 2014 titled as **Hardev Singh vs. State of Punjab and others**, decided on 14.09.2016.

Learned State counsel has opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of submission made by learned counsel for the

petitioner and by considering the arguments of learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner in view of judgment passed in **Hardev Singh's case (supra)**. The necessary exercise be done within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

In case the petitioner is found to be entitled for the relief sought in the petition in view of aforesaid judgment, the same be granted to him within a period of two months thereafter. In case the claim of the petitioner is to be rejected, a speaking and well reasoned order be passed and the petitioner would be at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

November 29, 2016
poonam

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

Yes