

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.20437 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.01.2016**

Sandhya and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RITU BAHRI J. (Oral)

CM-16566-CWP-2015

For the grounds mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and the copy of the proposal for sending the petitioners on Forest Guard Training is taken on record as Annexure P-10.

MAIN CASE

The petitioners have filed this writ petition in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned action dated 15.01.2015 of the respondents by which the result of Forest Guard Training undertaken by the petitioners as per Rule 10(2) of the Haryana State Forest Executive Section (Group C) Service Rules, 1998, has been declared jointly of all the batches instead of declaring the same batchwise.

The petitioners were appointed as Forest Guard on 25.06.2014. The petitioners have participated in a training batch which was meant for Forest Guard as per Haryana State Forest Executive Section (Group C) Service Rules, 1998. After completing the training, the result was declared common for all the batches and as per Rule 10(4) of the Rules, 1998, every topper who obtains first position in the final examination shall be given appointment on the post of Forester against the post meant for Direct Quota.

The grievance of the petitioners is that on account of a common final examination only one topper is being treated eligible for appointment against the Direct Quota post whereas fourteen batches were there which took training under a separate Instructor. A representation dated 25.02.2015 (Annexures P-3 to P-13) in this regard has been made to the respondents.

Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition, at this stage, is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation (Annexures P-3 to P-13) and shall pass an appropriate order in accordance with law.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

13.01.2016
yakub