

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 20357 of 2015

Date of Decision: 11.2.2016

Upasna Bagla

....Petitioner.

Versus

National Highways Authority of India and others

...Respondents.

1. Whether the Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL.
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAJ RAHUL GARG.**

PRESENT: Mr. Suman Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunil K. Sahore, Advocate for respondents No.1 and 2.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.

1. In this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to pay solatium and interest in view of the judgment of this Court **M/s Golden Iron and Steel Forgings versus Union of India and others, 2011 (4) RCR (Civil) 375.**

2. The land of the petitioner, as fully described in para No.2 of the writ petition, had been acquired by respondents No.1 and 2 under the National Highways Act, 1956.

3. The award was passed on 31.12.2014 (Annexure P-1) by

the Land Acquisition Collector/Competent Authority. In pursuance thereto, the petitioner was awarded compensation vide letter dated 29.5.2015 (Annexure P-2).

4. The petitioner's main grievance is that while assessing the compensation, the benefits of Sections 23 and 28 of the Act, namely, solatium and interest were not paid to her despite the fact that this Court in **M/s Golden Iron and Steel Forgings' case (supra)**, has categorically held that even in the case of acquisition under the National Highways Act, 1956, the above mentioned two statutory benefits are equally admissible to the affected land-owners. The petitioner also rely upon two decisions of this Court, both dated 27.9.2012 passed in **CWP No.7457 of 2012 (Bhag Singh and another versus Commissioner, Jalandhar Division and others)** and **CWP No.14642 of 2012 (Prem Kaur versus Union of India and others)** whereby the benefits of solatium and interest in terms of the above-cited decision of this Court, have been extended to the similarly situated land-owners.

5. Another grievance of the petitioner is that besides submission of applications etc., the petitioner is running from pillar to post before the officers of respondent No.2 for the release of abovementioned benefits but the same are withheld only on the plea that no directions have been given by this Court in her case. The aggrieved petitioner has now approached this Court.

6. We have heard learned counsel for the parties at some length and gone through the record.

7. The principles laid down by this Court in **M/s Golden Iron and Steel Forgings' case (supra)**, are not in dispute. Further, the fact that the benefits of solatium and interest have been extended by this

Court to the similarly situated land-owners vide order dated 27.09.2012 in Bhag Singh's case (supra), can also be hardly disputed. In these circumstances, we are of the view that it is imperative upon respondent Nos.1 and 2 to consider the petitioner's claim for the grant of solatium and interest in accordance with the decision of this Court in **M/s Golden Iron and Steel Forgings' case (supra)**.

8. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of in the following terms:-

- (i) The petitioner shall move application before the notified Competent Authority-cum-Land Acquisition Collector within a period of one month for the grant of aforesaid benefits;
- (ii) The said Competent Authority will issue notice and call for the records/reply from the National Highway Authority of India;
- (iii) The Competent Authority shall thereafter determine whether or not the petitioner is entitled to the aforesaid benefits, especially in view of the decisions of this Court and the Hon'ble Supreme Court relied upon by the claimants;
- (iv) If the petitioner is found entitled to, a self speaking supplementary Award to this effect shall be passed within a period of four months from the date of filing of the application;
- (v) The National Highways Authority of India shall deposit the amount, if any, payable in terms of supplementary award, in interest-bearing fixed deposit account(s) in

any nationalized bank till the final decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Civil Appeal No. 10695 of 2011** titled as **M/s Golden Iron & Steel Forgings v. Union of India and others** and **Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.15104 of 2014** titled: **Project Director, National Highway, No. IV vs. Rajeshwar Singh and Ors.**

9. Writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

February 11, 2016
gbs

(RAJ RAHUL GARG)
JUDGE