

217

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.21033 of 2014

Date of Decision: 09.05.2016

1. Madhu Nirwan . . . Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others . . . Respondents

CWP No.21075 of 2014

Date of Decision: 09.05.2016

2. Madhu Nirwan . . . Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.K. Kaushal, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Advocate
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J. (Oral)

This order will dispose of above mentioned two writ
petitions as the counsel for the parties have agreed that facts
contained therein are identical.

CWP No.21033 of 2014 and
CWP No.21075 of 2014

Learned counsel for the respondents state that in view of the decision taken by the Government of India, Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas, vide letter No.P-17011/7/2010-LPG dated 25th February, 2016, the claim of the petitioners, in these cases, will be considered on merits, in accordance with law. Copy of letter dated 25.02.2016 produced in Court is taken on record.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

[PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL]
JUDGE

09.05.2016
sachin