

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

Gurpreet Kaur
2016.10.19 16:23
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CWP No.23507 of 2013

Date of Decision: 18.10.2016

Narinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate
for Mr. Harish Mehla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, D.A.G., Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.3 to 7.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondents No.3 to 7 submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous as the service record of the petitioner has been sent to Jalandhar and the inquiry has also been conducted. Learned counsel also submits that the salary of the petitioner has been released for the period for which he has worked.

The present petition is disposed of as infructuous, keeping in view the prayer made in the present petition as well as the submissions made by learned counsel for respondents No.3 to 7.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

However, in case, the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to make representation to the authorities concerned.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

18.10.2016
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No