

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

241

**CWP-19189-2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION:15.11.2016**

MONIA

... Petitioner

V.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. S.K. Sharma, Advocate
for Mr. Manjeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Advocate General, Haryana
with Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has impugned the action of the respondents in calling even ineligible candidates for the interview for the post of Trained Graduate Teacher (TGT) English. It is the case of the petitioner that in terms of the instructions issued by the respondents, candidates twice the number of posts, have to be called for the interview and the respondents are calling even ineligible candidates for the interview without verification of their valid documents. The documents should be first verified by the respondents and only those candidates who are found eligible, should be called for interview as otherwise, the petitioner would be excluded from the zone of consideration.

Learned Advocate General, Haryana appearing for the respondents states that a similar controversy has come up for adjudication before a Coordinate Bench of this Court in **CWP No.22746 of 2016** titled as

Satish Kumar Malik and others v. State of Haryana and others and

other connected matters wherein vide order passed today itself,
Swarnjit Singh
2016.11.16 13:08
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

i.e. 15.11.2016, the case was disposed of after issuance of directions that scrutiny of the candidates shall be carried out first and ineligible candidates would not be called for interview. Learned Advocate General, Haryana further states that the directions issued in the case of **Satish Kumar Malik and others** (supra) would also apply to other subjects including TGT (English) for which the vacancies have been advertised. The directions issued in the case of **Satish Kumar Malik and others** (supra) would be equally applicable in the instant case.

Therefore, the petition stands disposed of in the same terms as the order in the case of **Satish Kumar Malik and others** (supra).

November 15, 2016

SwarnjitS

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Note:

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No