

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

111

Civil Writ Petition No.19172 of 2016
Date of decision: September 16, 2016

Mangat Rai Govt. Contractor

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Puran Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner, feeling aggrieved against the action of the respondents till declaring the technical bid as non-responsive, has approached this Court by way of filing the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order and the corrigendum dated 09.09.2016 (Annexures P-4 & P-6 respectively).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has already filed a representation dated 09.09.2016 (Annexure P-5) for impugning the action taken by respondent No.4 for rejecting his technical bid but no action has so far been taken thereon.

3. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, perusing the present petition and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, we dispose of the present petition by directing respondent No.4

to take a decision on the representation dated 09.09.2016 (Annexure P-5) filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to him within a period of seven days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

September 16, 2016
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No