

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 19165 of 2016  
Date of Decision: 16.9.2016**

Singh & Associates, Near Sitla Mandir, Mohalla Rajjan, Nawanshahar,  
District SBS Nagar through its partner Gurmeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK**

Present : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Girdhar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)**

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court, by way of instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the genuine grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed, he finally approached the respondent authorities by way of representation dated 31.3.2016 (Annexure P-2), but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case the Executive Engineer, Water Supply & Sanitation Division No.1, Patiala-respondent No.4 is directed to consider and decide his representation, within a reasonable time.

Amit Kumar  
2016.09.16 18:15  
I attest to the accuracy and  
authenticity of this document

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, the Executive Engineer, Water Supply & Sanitation Division No.1, Patiala-respondent No.4 is directed to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner, raised vide his representation dated 31.3.2016 (Annexure P-2) and decide the same, at an early date by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

It is further directed that if, after due consideration of the matter, respondent No.4 comes to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled for the amount being sought to be released, the same shall be released in favour of the petitioner, without any further loss of time.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, present petition stands disposed of.

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)**  
**JUDGE**

16.9.2016  
*Ak Sharma*

*Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable: Yes/No*