

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

237

CWP-20010-2015

DATE OF DECISION: 10.05.2016

**ANUJ AND OTHERS**

**.... Petitioners.**

**VS.**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**

**... Respondents.**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAJ RAHUL GARG**

**Present:** None for the petitioners.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Moudgil, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*

**AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.(ORAL)**

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 states that the notifications issued under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, have since been withdrawn and thus the acquisition proceedings have lapsed. Accordingly, a prayer was made that the writ petition has become infructuous as relief claimed therein has been granted and therefore, the same may be disposed of as such.

2. Disposed of as infructuous. It shall, however, be open to the petitioners to file an application for revival of the writ petition, in case the statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.2 is found to be incorrect.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)  
JUDGE**

**(RAJ RAHUL GARG)  
JUDGE**

**10.05.2016**  
SwarnjitS