

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 18986 of 2016
Date of Decision: 14.09.2016

AJIT SINGH *... Petitioner*

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS *..Respondents*

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Sukhdev Singh Kanwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J. (Oral)

Brief facts of this case are that the petitioner has retired from service of respondent-Corporation on 30.06.2008 and claims that at present, neither any charge sheet nor any inquiry is pending against him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that he will be satisfied if the legal notice dated 15.05.2016 (*Annexure P-4*) is ordered to be decided in the time bound manner.

Accordingly, the respondents are directed to decide the aforesaid representation dated 15.05.2016 (*Annexure P-4*) by passing the speaking order within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case the petitioner is found to be entitled for any dues as detailed in his representation, the same be paid forthwith.

In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of.

September 14, 2016

Suresh Kumar

**[KULDIP SINGH]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned

✓
Yes / No

Whether Reportable

✓
Yes / No