

139

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 18965 of 2016
Date of Decision: 14.09.2016

BISHAN DASS

... Petitioner

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

KULDIP SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner, who is the ex-employee of the Muncipal Council, Kotkapura, seeks release of retiral benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he will be satisfied if the representation dated 19.07.2016 (*Annexure P-1*) is ordered to be decided in the time bound manner.

Accordingly, the respondents are directed to decide the aforesaid (*Annexure P-1*) by passing the speaking order within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case the petitioner is found to be entitled for the retiral benefits as detailed in his representation, the same be paid forthwith.

In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of.

September 14, 2016

Suresh Kumar

**[KULDIP SINGH]
JUDGE**

✓

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

✓

Whether Reportable

Yes / No