

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.18914 of 2016

Date of Decision: 14.9.2016

Gurmej Singh Khinda

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. S.S. Toor, Advocate for Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court, by way of instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, fairly states that he does not intend to press this petition, at this stage, for a writ in the nature of Certiorari. He further submits that since the self contained representation dated 2.12.2015 (Annexure P-10) submitted by the petitioner is pending consideration, petitioner will be satisfied, in case respondent no.2. is directed to consider and decide the same at an early date.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, including the issue of limitation, if any, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, Deputy Commissioner-cum-Election Commissioner, Jalandhar-respondent

No.2 is directed to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner, raised vide his representation dated 2.12.2015 (Annexure P-10) and decide the same, at an early date by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, present petition stands disposed of.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

14.9.2016
GS

Whether speaking/reasoned/non-speaking

Whether reportable: Yes/No