

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.18795 of 2016

Date of decision : September 09, 2016

Piare Lal

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Jasneet Mehra, Advocate for
Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner was appointed as Constable on 16.10.1971 in 7th Battalion, P.A.P., Jalandhar and after attaining the age of superannuation, retired from service on 31.05.2006. Petitioner claims that two annual increments were granted to the 7th Battalion, P.A.P., Jalandhar in the year 1973-74 but these increments were not granted to the petitioner.

I am of the view that the case is barred by laches. From the petition, it is not clear as to whether the increments given to the 7th Battalion, P.A.P., Jalandhar, were regular increments or some special increments. These increments were allegedly given in the year 1973-74. The petitioner remained in service till 31.05.2006. Now, after 10 years of the retirement of the petitioner, the present petition has been filed. Therefore, the present writ petition is dismissed on being barred by laches and delay.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

September 09, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No