

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 07.04.2016

CWP No.26079 of 2012 (O&M)

Chander Bhan & others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Addl. AG, Punjab.

1. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
2. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

It is not disputed that relief as prayed has been granted to petitioners No.1 to 4 & 6 and qua them, the petition has been rendered infructuous.

However, relief has been denied to the remaining petitioners i.e. petitioner No.5 & 7 by the order dated 1st April, 2016 passed by the Director of Public Instruction (Secondary Education), Punjab.

Since this is a supervening event and a fresh cause of action, Mr. Sharma submits that he may be permitted to bring an independent challenge to the order qua the left out petitioners i.e. petitioners No.5 & 7.

Consequently, the present petition is dismissed as partially infructuous and partially withdrawn with liberty to seek legal redress.

The order dated 1st April, 2016 produced by Mr. Manuja, after receiving from Mr. Sanjiv Kumar, Senior Assistant, O/o DPI (Secondary), Punjab, present in Court, is taken on record as Mark-A.

07.04.2016
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE