

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP NO. 18703 OF 2016

DECIDED ON : 08.09.2016

Hari Om

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present : Mr. S. K. Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

The distance between Ateli to Narnaul is not more than 25 kms. The distance involved is not such as would be protected by the policy instructions which lay down that in normal circumstances there should not be any transfer short of retirement. When the policy lays down such a condition, it really means that the transfer involves hardship and the distance between two places becomes relevant and therefore, I find nothing wrong or illegal in the transfer order posting the petitioner to an office 25 kms away even though he is eight months short of retirement.

Besides this, no mala fide or bias has been alleged against the authorities and therefore, interference is not called for in view of the judgment passed by the Supreme Court in “*Union of India v. S. L. Abbas*” *AIR 1993 SC 2444*.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 08, 2016
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned ? YES/NO

Whether reportable ? YES/NO