

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P No. 22769 of 2013

DATE OF DECISION: 11.07.2016

Wassan Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Sharma, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that order Annexure R-3 passed on 28.1.2013 has not been challenged in the present petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that order dated 28.1.2013(Annexure R-3) has been passed during pendency of the present petition and he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to challenge the said order by way of filing fresh writ petition.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that order dated 28.1.2013 (Annexure R-3) has been passed before filing of the present petition, whereas, as per stand of the petitioner, this order was never conveyed to him.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 28.1.2013 (Annexure R-3) by way of filing fresh writ petition.

July 11, 2016

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE