

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 18455 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.09.2016.

Santokh Singh

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. M.S. Bal, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

The petitioner, who was serving on the post of Conductor under the Department of Transport, State of Punjab, retired on 30.9.2008 upon attaining the age of superannuation.

Order dated 28.6.2013 (Annexure P-3) passed by the General Manager, Punjab Roadways, Tarn Taran would clearly indicate that he has been granted the benefit of three annual increments which were earlier stopped in relation to his suspension period i.e. w.e.f. 8.11.1993 to 17.10.1996.

The short grievance raised in the instant petition is that inspite of such financial benefit having been granted and released post retirement in the light of order dated 28.6.2013 (Annexure P-3) the consequential benefit of revised pensionary benefits has not been granted.

Without even ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the instant petition, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the same with a direction to respondent no.2 i.e. the Director, State Transport, Punjab to consider the claim of the petitioner in the light of a representation dated 8.3.2016 (Annexure P-4) and to take a final view in the matter by passing a

reasoned order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. It is made clear that in case the petitioner is held entitled to the revised pensionary benefits, the consequential financial benefits be released to the petitioner immediately thereafter.

Petition disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

06.09.2016
lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No