

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-19334-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.09.2016**

Pritpal Singh Sodhi

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr. Snehdip Oberoy, Advocate for
Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate for the petitioner
Ms. Vandana Malhotra, AAG Punjab
Mr. Vinish Singla, Advocate with
Mr. Sandeep Rana, Advocate for the respondents No.4 and 5

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the entire retiral benefits have been released to him. However, he presses the petition only for the interest on the delayed payment. It is stated that the petitioner retired from the service on 31.10.2013 but the payments were made in the years 2014 and 2015.

In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed to the extent that respondent Nos.4 and 5 are directed to pay interest to the petitioner @ 9% per annum, starting three months after the date of retirement of the petitioner till payment.

30.09.2016

gk

**(Kuldeep Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No