

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.18333 of 2016
Date of Decision: 05.09.2016

Ravinder Kumar ... Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab & Anr. ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

1. Whether speaking / reasoned?	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable?	Yes / No
3. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?	Yes / No
4. To be referred to the Reporters or not?	Yes / No
5. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?	Yes / No

Present: Mr. Naresh Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioner

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

(1) The short grievance of the petitioner in the instant writ petition is that the application filed by him under Section 28-A of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (in short, 'the 1894 Act') on 18.12.2014 (P3) before the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates, Punjab – respondent No.2 for re-determination of the amount of compensation as per the award/judgment dated 06.12.2014 (P2) is not being decided by the said authority.

(2) Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and considering the nature of relief sought, we dispose of the writ petition with a direction to respondent No.2 to take up the application filed under Section 28-A, if any, by the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law at the earliest but not later than four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(Surya Kant)
Judge

05.09.2016
vishal shonkar

(Sudip Ahluwalia)
Judge