

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.18168 of 2016
Decided on : 02.09.2016**

Kirtimaan Singh Bhandari

... Petitioner

Versus

V.M.S. College of Law, Batala & another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr.Deepak Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

G.S. Sandhawal, J. (Oral)

Petitioner had taken admission with the respondent-College in the 5 years Law course and on account of the public notice (Annexure P5) shifted to the Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, Regional Centre, Gurdaspur, on 04.09.2015.

Counsel has placed reliance upon Clause 21 of the prospectus (Annexure P1) to submit that student is entitled for refund, after deduction of Rs.1200/-, as processing fee. It is submitted that a representation dated 10.09.2015 (Annexure P6) has already been filed with the respondent-College but in spite of a period of one year having expired, no action has been taken on the same. Counsel submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, at this stage, if a direction is issued to the respondents to take a decision on the said representation for refund, within a fixed time-frame.

Notice of motion.

Keeping in view the limited relief sought, this Court is not inclined to call upon the respondents to file reply.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18168-2016

-2-

Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioner for the refund, the present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 10.09.2015 (Annexure P6), regarding the refund of the admission fees, within a period of 3 months from the receipt of the certified copy of this order. In case the petitioner is entitled for the benefit, the amount be paid to him. In case the respondent-College comes to a contrary finding, a reasoned order be passed and the same be conveyed to the petitioner.

SEPTEMBER 2nd, 2016
sailsh

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE