

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.18127 of 2016

Date of decision: 6.10.2016

Aditya Kansal

...Petitioner

Versus

The Central Board of Secondary Education and another **...Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Ajay Pal Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate and
Mr. Nitin Kant Setia, Advocate for the respondents.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time counsel for the petitioner does not wish to press the present writ petition in view of the stance taken by the respondent-Board especially the non impleadment of the Executive Director of JEE Mains, 2016 and the non joining of JoSAA.

It is not disputed that the petitioner has been granted the benefit of two marks in the re-evaluation process in the Computer Science subject in the Senior Secondary Examination and his marks have been revised from 89 to 91.

Counsel has submitted that on account of the said fact that he would be entitled for benefit of better adjustment and placement in the educational institutions.

The stand of the respondent-Board is that the JEE Brochure on the basis of which admission had been taken provides that candidate had to approach the relevant Apex Body of JEE.

Admittedly the petitioner had not approached the said

authority.

Faced with this situation counsel for the petitioner submits that he seeks the liberty to approach the said authority.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed off with aforesaid liberty.

October 06, 2016
Pka

(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No