

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.18122 of 2016
Date of Decision: 02.09.2016

Anju Saxena

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

- | | |
|--|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes / No |
| 3. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes / No |
| 4. To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes / No |
| 5. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes / No |

Present: Mr. Rose Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

(1) The claim of the petitioner in this case is that she has filed a reference under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 in July, 2007 which has not been so far referred to the competent Court by the Land Acquisition Collector, namely, respondent No.2. A direction is accordingly sought to the Land Acquisition Collector to refer the said reference to the learned District Judge, Hisar.

(2) We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner. There is no conclusive proof on record of filing of the reference. However, keeping in view the categoric stand taken by the petitioner, we dispose of the writ petition without expressing any views on merit, with a direction to respondent No.2 to ascertain from the record and if it is found that any reference filed by the petitioner in July, 2007 is still pending, the same be referred to the learned District Judge for adjudication within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(Surya Kant)
Judge

02.09.2016
vishal shonkar

(Sudip Ahluwalia)
Judge