

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

126

Civil Writ Petition No.18093 of 2016
Date of decision: September 14, 2016

Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd. and anotherPetitioners

versus

Sukhwinder Singh and others ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

Present: Mr. K.B. Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. D.R. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S. Dadwal, Advocate for
Mr. Raj Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for caveator-respondent No.1.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

1. In the instant writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 08.10.2015 (**Annexure P-2**) passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh (in short 'the Tribunal') (respondent No.4) in O.A. No.060/00864/2015, whereby the Tribunal has granted interim relief to respondent No.1 in the same terms as granted in O.A. No.060/00295/2015 titled as '**Parkash Vir and another vs. BSNL**' dated 23.04.2015 (Annexure P-3) vide which the Tribunal has restrained the BSNL/petitioners from making promotions in the cadre of Chief Accounts officer (CAO) whereas in the present OA, the Tribunal has stayed the promotion of Assistant General Manager/Divisional Engineer (Telecom).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that during the pendency of the present writ petition, the impugned order dated 08.10.2015 (Annexure P-2) passed by the Tribunal has merged into the final order and the O.A. filed by respondent No.1 has been allowed. It was submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that in view of the order passed by the Tribunal, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

3. Ordered accordingly.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

September 14, 2016
sonia gugnani

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No