

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP NO. 18064 OF 2016 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 01.09.2016

HARPREET KAUR BRAR AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present : Mr. Vikas Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

The Department of Education, State of Haryana had issued an advertisement in the year 2003 for appointing Art and Craft teachers.

The present petitioners had applied in response to such advertisement, but were considered ineligible on the basis that two years diploma in Art and Craft possessed by them was from the Punjab State Board of Technical Education and Industrial Training. Challenge to such action having been raised before this Court, a Division Bench of this Court

allowed the petitions and directions were given to the State of Haryana on 11.03.2004, to consider the candidature of such applicants who had passed two years diploma in Art and Craft from Punjab Board including from the Punjab State Board of Technical Education and Industrial Training. In compliance of the judgment of Division Bench of this Court, all such candidates, including the present petitioners, who had passed two years diploma course from the Punjab State Board of Technical Education and Industrial Training prior to 02.11.1999, were called for the interview.

The petitioners accordingly were selected and issued appointment letters on different dates in the year 2006 and 2009.

The claim set up in the instant petition is for grant of a deemed date of appointment as Art and Craft Teachers i.e w.e.f 20.08.2004 when other applicants and candidates who had also applied in response to the same very advertisement had been issued letters of appointment.

Learned counsel has referred to order dated 25.09.2012 (Annexure P-3) issued from the office of the Director, Elementary Education, Haryana, whereby identically situated candidates had approached this Court and upon directions having been issued to decide their representations seeking deemed date of appointment, such claim has been granted.

The petitioners as such seek parity of treatment.

In the light of order passed earlier, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider the claim of the petitioners and to take a final decision on the legal notice dated

06.05.2015 (Annexure P-4) by passing a well reasoned speaking order

within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 01, 2016
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned ?

YES/NO

Whether reportable ?

YES/NO