

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 18048 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 21.11.2016

Avinash Kaur Petitioner (s)
Versus
Punjab and Sind Bank and others Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gursimran Singh Bawa, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

It comes out that the petitioner previously filed CWP -19572-2014, which was allowed by a coordinate Bench of this Court on 17.9.2015 (Annexure-P-3). The Letters Patent Appeal filed against the said judgment was dismissed, vide order dated 15.3.2016 (Annexure-P-4), passed by a Division Bench of this Court in LPA-160-2016. Since the order was not complied with, a contempt petition (COCP-183-2016) was filed, in which the respondents, while admitting that the LPA has been dismissed, undertook to comply with the order of the learned Single Judge within ten days, vide order dated 22.3.2016 (Annexure-P-5). Now, vide order dated 21.3.2016, the claim of the petitioner has been rejected.

I am of the view that if an order has been passed against the directions given by a learned Single Judge in his judgment, the proper remedy is to file a contempt petition. The separate writ petition does not lie. The present writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

21.11.2016
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No