

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.18040 of 2016

Date of Decision: 01.09.2016

Sunil

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Ms. Shalini Atri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

The petitioner has regular remedy available to claim wages either before the Authority under the Payment of Wages Act or in proceedings under section 33-C (2) presented before the Labour Court under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Both these remedies are wholesome and, therefore, interference in writ jurisdiction is not justified especially when no document is placed on record establishing-employer employee relationship.

Dismissed with the aforesaid liberty.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

01.09.2016

manju

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No