

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.18023 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : September 29, 2016**

Goverdhan Dass

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. G.S. Samra, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

It comes out that the petitioner after his retirement on 31.10.2006 had made representation dated 29.01.2007 (Annexure P-2) for grant of promotional increment and benefits of leave encashment and gratuity. The leave encashment and gratuity have been released but the promotional increment has not been paid.

It also comes out that the department vide letter dated 03.04.2007 (Annexure P-3) addressed to the Additional Secretary, Local Government Department, Punjab, Chandigarh, recommended to release the said benefits. However, the department did not take any action. Thereafter, the petitioner made various representations (Annexure P-4). The latest one was made on 01.04.2016.

In view of the facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed with a direction to the Principal Secretary, Department of Local Government, Punjab, Chandigarh, to take a decision on the representations dated 01.04.2006 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioner by passing a speaking

order within one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order. The copy of the order along with Annexure P-4 be sent to the Principal Secretary, Department of Local Government, Punjab, Chandigarh. Let Principal Secretary also take decision about the interest payable on the promotional increment.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

September 29, 2016
sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No