

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

FAO No. 5468 of 2009 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 12, 2016

Nanak Chand,

..... Appellant

v.

Rajesh Kumar and others,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Mahavir Sandhu, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Vinod Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

1. **Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

After arguing for some time, counsel for the appellant has accepted that the compensation has been rightly awarded under Section 163A of the Motor Vehicle Act and, therefore, he does not press this appeal.

Dismissed as not pressed.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

**February 12, 2016
'kk'**