

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 25.02.2016

CWP No.18863 of 2015

Mukhtar Singh & others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CWP No.985 of 2016

Prem Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. C.L.Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

This order will dispose of above cases bearing CWP Nos.18863 of 2015 & 985 of 2016, as common questions of law and facts are involved in them, which can conveniently be decided by a common order.

Since the case for payment of pensionary dues is stated to be at an advanced stage, as admitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner, there may be no adjudicatory need to keep these matters pending in adjournment motion when no legal issue is to be decided. On the other hand, it would serve the ends of justice in a far better and effective way, if a direction is issued to the respondents to take a final decision computing the arrears of pension dues

arising out of revision of pay; add statutory interest thereon for the delayed payment/s and pay the same to the petitioner within six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With these observations and directions, both the petitions stand disposed of.

At this stage, Mr. Vaibhav Sharma appearing for the respondent department has pointed out that a similar matter i.e. CWP No.10575 of 2013 involving the same grievance of another pensioner of the same department has been disposed of by this Court and time bound directions have been issued therein to the respondents to do the needful.

In the special circumstances as above, the respondents are directed to club these matters with the file of the pensioner in CWP No.10575 of 2013 and pass an order granting the relief either jointly or severally as the decision-maker finds suitable.

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

25.02.2016
Vimal