

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.17881 of 2016
Date of decision:1.9.2016**

Dr. Surjit Singh Randhawa @ Surjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Panjab University, Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Manish Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Present writ petition is directed against the rejection of nomination paper of the petitioner vide impugned communication dated 19.08.2016 (Annexure P-2) and also the appellate order dated 25.08.2016 (Annexure P-4).

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

During the course of hearing, when confronted as to how the present writ petition is maintainable, learned counsel for the petitioner could not address any meaningful argument. In fact, he failed to point out any relevant provision of law, which would entitle the petitioner to file and maintain the instant writ petition against rejection of nomination of the petitioner. He is not even aware as to what would be the next course of action, available to the petitioner. The instant writ petition is lacking in particulars.

It goes without saying that rejection of nomination paper would be a ground for challenging the result of election, before the appropriate forum and resorting to the relevant provisions of law.

In view of the above, present writ petition has not been found maintainable and the same is dismissed, as such.

**1.9.2016
mks**

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE**