

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.17692 of 2016
Date of decision:29.8.2016**

Prabhash Jain and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.Alok Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioners have approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that instead of Annexures P-10 and P-11, Annexure P-9 dated 29.7.2016 has been wrongly typed in the prayer clause at page 20 of the paper-book. He further submits that a limited prayer made by the petitioners is for appropriate decision on their representations Annexures P-10 and P-11, at the hands of respondent No.4.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction that after making appropriate reference by respondent No.4, within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, Additional Director-cum-State Registrar of Societies, Haryana-respondent No.3 shall consider and decide Annexures P-10 and P-11 finally by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of reference from respondent No.4.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

29.8.2016
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No