

(105)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.17626 of 2016
Date of decision: 9.9.2016

Jaspinder Singh Dhami and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

Union of India & others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.S. SARON.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: Mr. M.S. Khaira, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Dharminder Singh Randhawa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. PPS Thethi, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate for respondent No.6.

S.S. Saron, J.

The petitioners by way of the writ petition pray for directing respondents No.1 to 4 to register a case regarding fraud committed on the public exchequer by respondent No.6 in connivance with other officials.

A copy of the petition was given to Sh. PPS Thethi, Additional Advocate General, Punjab to seek instructions.

Learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab on instructions from Sh. Naranjan Singh, Inspector, Vigilance Bureau, Punjab submits that regular inquiry No.9 of 2016 of Jalandhar is going on and is nearing completion.

In view of the said position, learned Senior Counsel submits that he may be allowed to withdraw the present petition for the present.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

At this stage, Mr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate with Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate has appeared for respondent No.6. It is submitted by the learned Senior Counsel on behalf of respondent No.6 that in fact the petitioners have colluded with the opponents of respondent No.6 so as to get a false FIR registered against him. However, it is submitted that since the petitioners are withdrawing their petition at this stage, he (respondent No.6) reserves his right to file an independent petition raising the objections in an appropriate manner.

(S.S. Saron)
Judge

(Hari Pal Verma)
Judge

09.09.2016
amit

Note:

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.