

**Sr. No. 120
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.17574 of 2016(O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.08.2016**

Channi

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

The instant writ petition has been filed praying for the issuance of a writ of mandamus for directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for the grant of benefit of regularization of her services. Counsel would submit that the petitioner was appointed in the respondent department in the year 1995 on daily wage basis. The State Government had framed policies on the subject of regularization of services of daily wages employees from time to time. It has been contended that the claim of the petitioner would be covered in the light of policy dated 01.10.2003. A reference has also been made to a notification dated 18.06.2014 at Annexure P-7 in terms of which, the left over Group C and D employees working on ad-hoc, contract, daily wage, work charge basis, who could not be regularized under the various regularization policies on account of administrative reasons were to be considered for regularization with effect from the date, they were eligible for such benefit. Counsel submits that even a legal notice with regard to such claim has already been served upon the

respondent-authorities.

In the light of the facts noticed here-in-above, the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to consider the claim of the petitioner and to take a final decision on the legal notice dated 14.2.2016 (Annexure P-8) strictly in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Disposed of.

29.08.2016

vandana

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No