

**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.19168 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : September 30, 2016**

Jagir Singh and another **Petitioners**

Versus

State of Punjab and others **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Rajat Bansal, AAG, Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Reply on behalf of the State has been filed in the Court today, which is supported by the affidavits of both the petitioners as Annexure R-1/7 and R-1/8, wherein it has been stated that in the present petition, they have received all the benefits, as per the decision of this Hon'ble Court. Therefore, the petition may be consigned to the record room

Learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated that all the retiral benefits have been paid to the petitioners.

It being so, the present petition has become infructuous and is disposed of as such.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

September 30, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No