

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.17491 of 2016

Date of decision: 28.11.2016

Digvijay Singh Tanwar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondents no.1 and 3.

Mr. D.K.Khanna, Advocate for respondent no.2.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner had approached this Court on the ground that he got 89 marks and he was short of one mark to qualify the HTET (2014-15).

The grouse of the petitioner was with regard to question No.144 of Set-E.

In the reply filed on behalf of respondent no.2-Board, it has been averred that question no.144 of Set-E was the same question which had been numbered as 123 of Set-A in the subject of Computer Science. In view of the report of the expert committee one mark had been given regarding this question. The petitioner had been declared as qualified on account of grant of one mark.

Accordingly, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such.

November 28, 2016

Pka

(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)

Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No

Pardeep Kumar
2016.11.29 10:13
I attest to the accuracy and integrity
of this document
Punjab and Haryana High Court,
Chandigarh