

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.174 of 2016

Date of Decision :8.1.2016

Lachhman Dass

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

....

Present: Mr. Sudesh Sahi, Advocate for
Mr. Arvind Kashyap, Advocate
for the petitioner.

.....

MAHESH GROVER.J.

The petitioner impugns the order dated 29.5.2014 by which the matter has been remanded back to the Collector to look into the appointment of a Lambardar afresh. It has been noticed in the order of the Commissioner that there is a factual dispute regarding the educational qualifications of the petitioner as also the respondent No.5 who is also an aspirant for the said assignment. Besides, there is a dispute regarding the number of people introduced to family planning by the petitioner as also the said respondent. There is a clear mandate to the Collector to verify this issue before embarking upon the appointment of a Lambardar.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, I do not find any infirmity in the impugned order considering that a dispute of fact would necessitate an inquiry and a proper appreciation which can be done by the Collector. As on today the petitioner cannot claim any prejudice.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed.

8.1.2016
dss

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE