

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.21520 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.08.2016**

Naveen Mittal and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Vineet Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ravi Pratap Singh, AAG., Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the present petition had been instituted in the year, 2013 raising an apprehension that the petitioners who had been engaged on the post of Horticulture Development Officer (Micro Irrigation) on purely contractual basis are in the process of being replaced by another contractual arrangement.

Counsel submits that from the pleadings on record itself it becomes apparent that the services of the petitioners have since been disengaged and they are not in service as of date. He further submits that the State Government is resorting to a mode of outsourcing and which has been awarded to NABARD and under the garb of outsourcing itself other contractual employees would be engaged in place of the petitioners.

The submissions and contentions raised by counsel for the petitioners are not borne out from the material/documents placed on record as also from the averments made in the petition.

Faced with such a situation, counsel prays for withdrawal of the

petition and with liberty to file a petition afresh bringing forth the complete and necessary details/documents.

Prayer is allowed.

Disposed of as withdrawn.

03.08.2016

vandana

(TEcJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No