

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.17300 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : September 26, 2016**

Jitendra Kumar Gupta

..... Petitioner

Versus

Allahbad Bank and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Siddharth Batra, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

A perusal of the file shows that there are as many as 19 Articles of charges against the petitioner in the charge-sheet dated 21.08.2013 (Annexure P-12), regarding his acts and omission.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, also claims parity of punishment with some other officers, for which the examination of the charges against said officers will also be required.

Since the matter requires leading of evidence and critical analysis thereof, therefore, the petitioner is relegated to avail the remedy before the appropriate civil court.

Disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

September 26, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No