

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 17182 of 2016
Decided on : 24.08.2016**

Union Bank of India

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

PRESENT: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Munish Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that inadvertently, the plaintiffs who had filed the Civil Suit, claiming themselves to be the tenants in the disputed property have not been impleaded as respondents in the present writ petition. Therefore, he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh one on the same cause of action by impleading the said persons as respondents in the writ petition.

2. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

August 24, 2016

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No