

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 17108 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 26.08.2016

M/s Sadbhav Engineering Ltd.

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Avneesh Jhingan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG Haryana.

RAJESH BINDAL,J.

The only prayer made by the petitioner is that during the pendency of the appeal filed by it before the Haryana Tax Tribunal (for short 'the Tribunal') against the order of revisional authority, the Bank guarantee furnished, in terms of the demand raised, should not be encashed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the respondents be directed to release the bank account, which was attached vide communication dated 25.07.2016, as the interest of the department is secured.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from Dr. V.K.Shastri, ETO, Sirsa, who appeared in Court on 24.08.2016 in another CWP No. 17140 of 2016, filed by the petitioner, stated that during the pendency of the appeal before the Tribunal, the bank guarantee furnished by the petitioner shall not be encashed. He further submitted that the necessary direction shall be issued to the bank releasing the attachment of the bank account of the petitioner.

In view of the fair stand taken by learned counsel for the State,
the present petition is disposed of accordingly.

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

26.08.2016
reema

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No