

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.17088 of 2016
Date of decision:23.8.2016**

Amarjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.N.P.S.Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Present writ petition is directed against the order dated 05.08.2016, passed by the District Development & Panchayat Officer, Faridkot-respondent No.3, whereby Administrator for the Gram Panchayat of the petitioner was appointed.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

A bare perusal of the impugned order itself would show that respondent No.3 has made it clear to the petitioner that if she was not satisfied with the impugned order, appointing the Administrator, she can challenge the same under Section 201 of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 ('the Act' for short). It was also made clear that the impugned order dated 5.8.2016 (Annexure P-4) shall remain in operation only up to 3.9.2016. It is also a matter of record that petitioner has never availed her remedy of revision under Section 201 of the Act.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, after careful perusal of the record and keeping in view the direct judgment already rendered by this Court in **Binder Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others, 2016 (1) RCR (3) 634**, this Court has no other option except to

relegate the petitioner to avail her equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision under Section 201 of the Act, at the first instance.

Ordered accordingly.

It is also directed that if the petitioner approaches the competent authority by filing her revision petition within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, the competent authority shall consider and decide the revision petition of the petitioner at an early date and in any case within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the revision petition. Petitioner shall be at liberty to take all possible pleas available to her, while filing her revision petition against the impugned order and the same shall be decided by the revisional authority by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.

23.8.2016
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No